

Levers for Action:

Federal and State Opportunities in 2021 and Beyond December 10, 2020

HEALING THE NATION

Advancing Mental Health and Addiction Policy

OUR SPEAKERS





Dr. Benjamin F. Miller Chief Strategy Officer Well Being Trust **David Lloyd** Senior Policy Advisor, The Kennedy Forum

Jane Beyer Senior Health Policy Advisor, WA Office of the Insurance Commissioner



Kana Enomoto Former Acting Administrator for SAMHSA and Former Senior Advisor to the Surgeon General

Framework for excellence in mental health and well-being

The framework for excellence in mental health is a guide for changemakers at every level of society who seek to improve mental health outcomes and promote well-being for millions of Americans.







David Lloyd Senior Policy Advisor, The Kennedy Forum

2019 Milliman Report

thekennedyforum.org

Large Out-of-Network Disparities Are Increasing



Inpatient MH/SUD treatment: Approximately **29 times** more likely to be out-of-network than inpatient medical care. Maine (suicide rate far above the national average) Inpatient MH/SUD treatment: Approximately **38 times** more

Approximately **38 times** more likely to be out-of-network than inpatient medical care.

• Primary care providers paid 24% more than MH/SUD providers for office visits



Generally Accepted Standards of Care

thekennedyforum.org

- In Wit v. United Behavioral Health, a federal court ruled that UBH's coverage practices and medical necessity criteria were inconsistent with Generally Accepted Standards of Care.
- Ordered UBH to reprocess 67,000 claims for 50,000 members nationwide (half of whom were children/adolescents). Use level of care criteria from non-profit clinical specialty associations.



UBH's flawed criteria was designed to approve coverage with a primary focus on "acute" episodes, such as withdrawal or suicidal behavior. This is not sufficient, given that mental health and substance use disorders, like other conditions such as diabetes and heart disease, most often require longterm care.

Doctors should be calling the shots for treatment, not insurers.

Additionally, UBH's criteria required reducing the level of care, *e.g.*, from residential treatment to outpatient therapy, even if the treating providers – consistent with generally accepted clinical standards – believed maintaining a higher level of care was necessary.



UBH failed to use evidencebased criteria for substance use disorders that are consistent with criteria developed by nonprofit, clinical specialty organizations such as the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM). This is required in states such as Connecticut, Illinois, and Rhode Island.

FORUM

Generally Accepted Standards of Care

thekennedyforum.org

The Wit Court Identified 8 Standards for Behavioral Health Care:

- 1. Treat underlying condition, not only current symptoms.
- 2. Treat co-occurring conditions.
- 3. Treat at the least intensive level of care that is safe and just as effective as higher level of care (cannot sacrifice effectiveness because a treatment is equally safe).
- 4. Err on the side of caution by using a higher level of care when there is ambiguity.
- 5. Include treatment services to maintain function.
- 6. Determine duration based on the individual's needs, without arbitrary limits.
- 7. Address unique needs of children/adolescents into account.
- 8. Use a multidimensional assessment to determine level of care (e.g., LOCUS, ASAM criteria).



Senate Bill 855 – California

thekennedyforum.org

Landmark Legislation Enacted in California







ROADBLOCKS TO MENTAL HEALTH & ADDICTION CARE



excludes substance use disorders and most mental health disorders, thus opening the door for insurers to deny treatment coverage by not following nationally recognized standards of care.



Families are often forced to forgo treatment due to huge out-of-pocket expenses. And the costs ultimately shift to taxpayers in the form of homelessness, overdoses, unemployment, and more.



Last year in the Wit v. **United Behavioral Health** ruling, a federal judge in California blasted the country's largest health insurer, United,

for denying mental health claims "based as much or more on its own bottom line as on the interests of the plan members." These discriminatory actions must be stopped.

WHERE WE NEED TO BE





The bill would require the use of evidence-based, nationally recognized standards of mental health and addiction care in determining treatment coverage-at no cost to the General Fund.



In addition to helping Californians access care, SB 855 will reduce the enormous costs to Medi-Cal and other public programs associated with untreated mental health and substance use disorders.

New State Model Bill

thekennedyforum.org

Released this morning by Kennedy Forum, American Psychiatric Association, Well Being Trust, Inseparable, and 30+ other national orgs

- Requires coverage of medically necessary MH/SUD care for all DSM diagnoses
- > **Defines "medical necessity"** based on AMA/APA supported definition
- Requires plans to follow Generally Accepted Standards of Care
- Requires plans to use most recent editions of criteria/guidelines from non-profit clinical specialty associations (e.g. ASAM)
- Requires out-of-network coverage if services are not available in-network within timely / geographic access standards (at in-network cost sharing)
- > May not limit services because they should/could be covered by a **public program**
- Requires training / testing on how criteria being used
- Prohibits the use of discretionary clauses







Jane Beyer Senior Health Policy Advisor, Washington Office of the Insurance Commissioner



Washington State: Progress and Regulation



Washington Health Benefit Exchange



- ~80% of people who purchase individual coverage do so via exchange
 - 13 carriers offering on the Exchange
 - Community Health Network of Washington, Regence, and UnitedHealthcare new for 2021
 - All counties have carrier choice: 2+ options in all counties
 - 8 counties with one carrier in 2020
 - A total of 115 QHPs for 2021
 - In King, Pierce, and Thurston Counties, consumers will have 69-73 plan options
 - In 2020, 43 QHPs statewide and most plans offered in a county is 35
- Average 2021 rate decrease from 2020 rates is -2.4%*



Executive Action

• Promoted payment parity and broadened methods to provide telemedicine, including allowing telephone in addition to audio/visual modalities. Still in effect.

2020 Legislation Implementation

- SHB 2338 (Chap. 228, Laws of 2020): Strikes exclusions related to substance use disorder (SUD) and gender-related mental health conditions from state behavioral health (BH) parity statutes and extends state BH parity protections to short-term limited duration medical plans and student health plans
- ESHB 2642 (Chap. 345, Laws of 2020): Restricts prior authorization for first days of withdrawal management (detox) services and inpatient SUD treatment



Market Conduct Authority

Select Initial Impressions of Market Scans

- Differences in:
 - Provider credentialing requirements
 - Provider network adequacy monitoring
 - Provider rate setting methodologies
- Lack of clarity regarding assurance of parity compliance across carriers and delegated entities
- Network provider claims indicate that few providers serve five or more plan enrollees:
 - 50-90% of network providers saw fewer than 5 plan enrollees over a 6-month period in 2018
- Provider reimbursement:
 - Relative to national Medicare fee schedule amounts, on average across carriers, behavioral health providers are reimbursed at lower rates than medical/surgical physicians

 Average provider allowed amounts relative to National Medicare Fee Schedule amounts, expressed as a percentage for selected E&M CPT codes:







MENTAL HEALTH PARITY AND ADDICTION EQUITY ACT (MHPAEA) (B) WORKING GROUP







Kana Enomoto

Former Acting Administrator for SAMHSA and Former Senior Advisor to the Surgeon General



Unlocking whole person care through behavioral health

Kana Enomoto

10 December 2020



CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY Any use of this material without specific permission of McKinsey & Company is strictly prohibited

The growing burden from behavioral health conditions has caused significant strain on American lives and livelihoods

~1.1 billion

people worldwide experience behavioral health conditions¹

28%

reduction in net family income by age 50 for individuals who have experienced mental disorders as a child³

50%

estimated increase in the prevalence of BH conditions due to the impact of COVID-19 5

- 3. Goodman, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2011
- 4. McKinsey Returning to Resilience, 2020.
- 5. McKinsey Understanding the hidden costs of COVID-19's potential impact on healthcare, 2020.

\$900 billion

cost to the US economy annually²

60%

of healthcare spend can be attributed to the 23% of the population diagnosed with behavioral health conditions⁴



^{1.} IHME Disease Burden Database 2017

^{2.} McKinsey Global Institute Prioritizing Health, 2020

The behavioral health system struggles to meet the quadruple aim

Access to treatment

70%

of US counties had no child psychiatrists in 2007... or in 2016¹

Affordability of care

#1

barrier to obtaining care as ranked by those seeking behavioral health services³

Quality of care

10%

of preschoolers with ADHD / hyperactivity receive care that is guideline-concordant⁵

of medical schools have a required course on addiction medicine⁶

Experience and societal attitudes

33%

of Americans say they are scared by people with mental disorders⁷

25 days

average wait for psychiatry appointments for new patients²

\$1,242

higher annual out of pocket spend for out of network care for those with drug use disorder vs. those with diabetes⁴

8%

37%

of Americans view opioid use disorder as a personal weakness and not a disease⁸

1. American Academy of Pediatrics - Growth and Distribution of Child Psychiatrists in the United States: 2007–2016; 2. Availability of Outpatient Care From Psychiatrists, 2015

3. NSDUH. 2019:

4. Xu et al, - JAMA Open Network, 2019,

5. Variability in ADHD Care in Community-Based Pediatrics, 2014, 6. Addiction Medicine Foundation. 2018 7. APA. 2019:

8. POLITICO/Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health 2018

Behavioral health conditions have a significant impact on overall healthcare expenditures...

US insured population, millions

Non-consumer healthcare spending¹, \$ billions



1. Payer-paid amount measures or medical and pharmacy claims (excludes copays, deductibles, or out-of-pocket payments); 2. One or more medical claims with a primary or secondary diagnosis of any behavioral health condition; 3.Includes claims with a primary diagnosis of a BH condition, as well as CPT, HCPCS, and NDC codes specific to behavioral health

Even before COVID-19, behavioral health conditions directly accounted for

~16%

of years lost to poor health and premature death in the US

The cost to the US economy is

annually¹

Years lost to poor health and premature death in US in 2017² Million



1. Calculated for 2017; includes cost from loss of labor supply from early deaths in 2017, poor health, and loss of productivity 2. Years lost to poor health is the sum of years lived with disability and years of life lost in 2017 due to premature death

Source: US Disease Burden Database, IHME; World Bank; McKinsey Global Institute analysis

These systemic challenges manifest themselves across the care continuum



Limited focus on **prevention and early intervention** programs for BH conditions

Low **levels of BH literacy** and lingering stigma

Low **integration** of physical health, behavioral health, and health-related basic needs Lack of scale for **evidencebased interventions** to prevent and treat BH conditions

Lower reimbursement and under-funding of BH services Shortage of **BH professionals** and physical health professionals with BH competency

These challenges result in incremental spend for individuals with behavioral health conditions

Example breakdown of incremental spend for Commercial members with behavioral health conditions relative to those without, \$ PMPY



Healthcare stakeholders have an opportunity reduce incremental disease burden and spend through six actions



Strengthen community prevention CCC CCC

Integrate behavioral and physical health



Leverage data and analytics



Address unmet health-related basic needs



Expand equitable access to quality care



Invest in behavioral health at parity Taken together, these actions could reduce total US healthcare spending annually¹ by



with a return of \$3 for every \$1 invested²

 This includes Commercial, Medicare, Medicaid, and consumer spend
Includes investment in incremental operating and admin cost needed to implement programs that can capture cost savings.

Source: McKinsey Analysis

A holistic approach to health is key to realize full savings



Savings as % of baseline healthcare spend



